1		
1	·	THE HONORABLE ROBERT S. LASNIK MAGISTRATE JUDGE JAMES P. DONOHUE
2		
3		
4		
5	,	
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	DONALD C. FLORY,	NO. C06-1046-RSL-JPD
10	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF DEVON
11	v.	SCHRUM
12	DONALD CLAUSSEN, et al,	
13	Defendants.	
14	I, DEVON SCHRUM, make the following declaration:	
15	1. I have knowledge of the facts herein, am over eighteen years of age, and am	
16	competent to testify to such facts. I am not a party to this lawsuit.	
17	2. I am the Grievance Program Manager in the Office of Correctional Operations,	
18	Washington State Department of Corrections (DOC), located in Tumwater, Washington. My	
19	official duties include responding to questions regarding the inmate grievance program	
20	statewide, reviewing grievances appealed to Level III and reporting to courts and DOC	
21	officials on the status of the grievance program. I have held this position since April 2006.	
22	3. The Washington Offender Grievance Program (OGP) has been in existence	
23	since the early 1980s and was implemented on a department-wide basis in 1985.	
24	4. Under the OGP, inmates may file grievances on a wide range of issues relating	
25	to their incarceration. For example, inmates may file grievances challenging: 1) DOC	
26	institution policies, rules and procedures; 2) the application of such policies, rules and	

procedures; 3) the lack of policies, rules or procedures that directly affect the living conditions of the offender; 4) the actions of staff and volunteers; 5) the actions of other offenders; 6) retaliation by staff for filing grievances; and 7) physical plant conditions. An offender may not file a grievance challenging: 1) state or federal law; 2) court actions and decisions; 3) Indeterminate Sentence Review Board actions and decisions; 4) administrative segregation placement or retention; 5) classification/unit team decisions; 6) transfers; and 7) disciplinary actions.

- 5. Under the OGP, a wide range of remedies are available to inmates. These remedies include: 1) restitution of property or funds; 2) correction of records; 3) administrative actions; 4) agreement by department officials to remedy an objectionable condition within a reasonable time; and 5) a change in a local or department policy or procedure.
 - 6. The grievance procedure consists of four levels of review:

Level 0 - Complaint or informal level. The grievance coordinator at the prison receives a written complaint from an offender on an issue about which the offender wishes to pursue a formal grievance. At this complaint level, the grievance coordinator pursues informal resolution, returns the complaint to the offender for rewriting, returns the complaint to the offender requesting additional information, or accepts the complaint and processes it as a formal grievance. Routine and emergency complaints accepted as formal grievances begin at Level I, complaints alleging staff misconduct are initiated at Level II.

<u>Level I</u> - Grievances against policy, procedure, or other offenders, and grievances processed as emergencies. The local grievance coordinator is the respondent at this level.

<u>Level II</u> - Appeal. Inmates may appeal Level I grievances to Level II. Staff conduct grievances are initiated at this level. All appeals and initial grievances received at Level II are investigated and the prison superintendent is the respondent. Emergency grievances can only be appealed to Level II.

1	I
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	ļ
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	I
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Level III - Appeal. Inmates may appeal all Level II responses except emergency grievances to Department headquarters in Tumwater, where they are re-investigated. Administrators are the respondents.

- 7. The DOC's grievance system is well known to inmates. Currently, over 20,000 grievances are filed per year system wide.
- 8. Donald Flory, DOC #290500, is a DOC inmate currently incarcerated at the Monroe Corrections Center (MCC) in the Washington State Reformatory (WSR). It is my understanding that Mr. Flory's lawsuit concerns allegations that he was denied due process at an infraction hearing where he was accused of punching the time clock for another inmate and that he lost his job because of that infraction.
- 9. I have reviewed DOC's official grievance records concerning Mr. Flory. Mr. Flory has not submitted a grievance regarding the hearing or the loss of his job since December 1, 2004, when he was infracted. Therefore, Mr. Flory did not exercise is available institutional remedies.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

EXECUTED this 20 day of September, 2006, at Turnwater, Washington.

DEVON SCHRUM